

## QUASI PERIODIC ORBITS IN THE VICINITY OF THE SUN-EARTH $L_2$ POINT AND THEIR IMPLEMENTATION IN “SPECTR-RG” & “MILLIMETRON” MISSIONS

GENNADY BOROVIN<sup>\*</sup>, IVAN ILIN<sup>†</sup>, ANDREW TUCHIN<sup>†</sup>

<sup>\*</sup>Keldysh Institute of Applied Mathematics RAS, Miusskaya sq, 4, Moscow, Russia.

Email: borovin@keldysh.ru,

Web page: [http://keldysh.ru/Dir\\_board/directors-fr.html](http://keldysh.ru/Dir_board/directors-fr.html)

<sup>†</sup>Keldysh Institute of Applied Mathematics RAS, Miusskaya sq, 4, Moscow, Russia

Email: is.ilin@phycis.msu.ru,

Web page: <http://www.keldysh.ru>

**Summary.** This work considers construction of quasi periodic orbits in the vicinity of the Sun-Earth system  $L_2$  libration point for the upcoming Roscosmos “Spectr-RG” and “Millimetron” missions. The problem is considered in the full dynamical model, the initial approximation is built with the help of Richardson technique extended on Elliptic Restricted Three-body problem. Selection of  $A_x$  and  $A_z$  oscillation amplitudes provides quasi periodic orbits of different types (halo or Lissajous orbits) and geometries. Transfer trajectories are selected on the  $L_2$  point stable manifold. Stationkeeping strategy provides up to 10 years of spacecraft operation in a quasi periodic orbit with given geometry.

### 1 INTRODUCTION

Quasi periodic orbits in the vicinity of collinear libration points  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  have been widely used for deployment of a number of NASA and ESA spacecraft carrying out astrophysical studies. These orbits are favourable as they provide stable Sun-Earth-spacecraft configuration, space telescope placed in such orbit can maintain its orientation relatively to Sun and Earth. Space observatory has great advantage over a ground based station as it does not have any atmosphere shield which means no dependence on weather and much higher sensibility. Due to these facts Russian federal space agency Roskosmos has planned two missions going to the  $L_2$  point for the next few years: “Spectr-RG” spacecraft is intended to be placed in a compact Lissajous orbit in the vicinity the  $L_2$  point in 2016; on the opposite “Millimetron” spacecraft should be going out far from the ecliptics plane, using a large radius halo orbit, launch is scheduled for the end of 2018. Both spacecraft are intended to operate during the 7 years period. To keep the spacecraft in the intended quasi periodic orbit some stationkeeping strategy should be applied. According to projects’ requirements total stationkeeping costs for this period must not overcome 200 m/sec.

### 2 COLLINEAR LIBRATION POINTS’ DYNAMICS

Libration points’ dynamics is usually studied within the Circular Restricted Three Body Problem (CRTBP) framework. The best description of libration points’ dynamics is given in<sup>1</sup>: “collinear libration points are of **center**  $\times$  **center**  $\times$  **saddle** type due to the eigenvalues of the

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 37N05, 70F07, 70F15.

**Key words and Phrases:** collinear libration points, halo orbits, quasi periodic orbits, Spectr-RG, Millimetron.

Jacobian matrix of the CRTBP vector field in these points being  $\{\pm i\omega_1, \pm i\omega_2, \pm\lambda\}$ . Due to the **center**  $\times$  **center** part, and according to Lyapunov's center theorem, each collinear equilibrium point gives rise to two one-parameter families of periodic orbits, known as the planar and the vertical Lyapunov families of periodic orbits (Fig. 1). In addition, in each energy level close to the one of the equilibrium point, there is a two-parameter family of 2D tori, known as **Lissajous orbits**, that connects the two Lyapunov families. Some of these tori are foliated by periodic orbits, but most of them carry an irrational flow. Thus, considering all the energy levels, there are 4D center (neutrally stable) manifolds around these points. For a given energy level, they are just 3D sets where the dynamics has a neutral behavior.

Along the families of Lyapunov periodic orbits, as the energy increases, the linear stability of the orbits change and there appear bifurcating orbits where other families of periodic orbits appear. The first family bifurcating from the planar Lyapunov one corresponds to 3-dimensional periodic orbits symmetric with respect the  $y = 0$  plane, the so-called **halo orbits**. At the bifurcation, two families of orbits are born, known as the Northern and Southern halo families.




Fig.1. Periodic and quasi periodic orbits in the vicinity of the  $L_2$  point. Blue color represents planar and vertical Lyapunov orbits, black color – halo orbit with red quasi periodic orbit around it, green color – Lissajous orbit.

Saddle part gives rise to invariant manifolds, providing natural transfer trajectories to periodic orbits.”

This work combines dynamical systems approach with some numerical techniques.

### 3. PERIODIC ORBIT APPROXIMATIONS IN CRTBP AND ERTBP

The simplest approximation to a quasi periodic orbit in the vicinity the  $L_2$  point is the solution of the motion equations of circular restricted three body problem (CRTBP) linearized in small area around libration point<sup>2</sup>.

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_1 &= A(t) \cos(\omega_1 t + \varphi_1(t)) + C(t) e^{\lambda t} + D(t) e^{-\lambda t} \\ \xi_2 &= -k_2 A(t) \sin(\omega_1 t + \varphi_1(t)) + k_1 (C(t) e^{\lambda t} - D(t) e^{-\lambda t}) \\ \xi_3 &= B(t) \cos(\omega_2 t + \varphi_2(t))\end{aligned}\quad (0.1)$$

Here  $A(t)$  and  $B(t)$  are  $X$ - $Y$  and  $Y$ - $Z$  plane oscillation amplitudes, average values of  $A(t)$  and  $B(t)$  coefficients are chosen at the orbit design stage, they characterize it's geometrical size in the ecliptics plane and in the plane which is orthogonal to it.  $C(t)$  value should be close to zero in order to prevent solution from exponential growth.  $D(t)$  is chosen in such a way that when  $t$  is equal to zero the spacecraft's motion trajectory should cross the border of the Earth's incidence sphere. In the restricted three body problem the  $A$ ,  $B$ ,  $C$ ,  $D$  coefficients do not depend on time. We shall use this model and the coefficients to describe geometry and stability of the obtained quasi periodic orbits. It is more informative to handle dimensionless values obtained by such normalization:

$$\theta_A = \frac{A}{R_L}, \theta_B = \frac{B}{R_L}, \theta_C = \frac{C}{R_L}\quad (0.2)$$

$R_L$  is distance from the  $L_2$  point to Earth.

Another way to build more precise approximation to the CRTBP periodic solution is Richardson's 3d order approximation obtained with the help of Linstedt-Poincaré technique applied to Legendre polynomial expansion of the classical CRTBP equations of motion<sup>3</sup>.

$$\begin{aligned}x &= a_{21} A_x^2 + a_{22} A_z^2 - A_x \cos \tau_1 + (a_{23} A_x^2 - a_{24} A_z^2) \cos 2\tau_1 + (a_{31} A_x^3 - a_{32} A_x A_z^2) \cos 3\tau_1 \\ y &= k A_x \sin \tau_1 + (b_{21} A_x^2 - b_{22} A_z^2) \sin 2\tau_1 + (b_{31} A_x^3 - b_{32} A_x A_z^2) \sin 3\tau_1 \\ z &= \delta_n A_z \cos \tau_1 + \delta_n d_{21} A_x A_z (\cos 2\tau_1 - 3) + \delta_n (d_{32} A_z A_x^2 - d_{31} A_z^3) \cos 3\tau_1\end{aligned}\quad (0.3)$$

Where  $\tau_1$  is dimensionless time,  $A_x > 0$  and  $A_z \geq 0$  are oscillation amplitudes and there is restriction put upon  $A_x$  minimum value

$$A_{x\min} \geq \sqrt{|\Delta / l_1|}\quad (0.4)$$

$\Delta$  value is derived from this amplitude bounding equation

$$l_1 A_x^2 + l_2 A_z^2 + \Delta = 0\quad (0.5)$$

And all  $a_{ij}$ ,  $b_{ij}$  and  $d_{ij}$  values are constants.

$$\delta_n = 2 - n, \quad n = 1, 3\quad (0.6)$$

Details concerning technique providing these equations are discussed in<sup>3</sup>.

The next step taken was to move this solution from CRTBP to the Elliptic Restricted Three Body Problem (ERTBP) in order to obtain more exact approximation of periodic orbit. Transfer to elliptic problem is performed the following way: first we convert true anomaly  $f$  describing ERTBP evolution into dimensionless time  $t$  with the help of Kepler's equation.

$$\operatorname{tg}\left(\frac{E}{2}\right) = \frac{\operatorname{tg}\left(\frac{f}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{1+e}{1-e}}} \quad (0.7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} M &= E - e \sin E \\ t_{\text{dimensionless}} &= M \end{aligned} \quad (0.8)$$

Then we apply Richardson procedure, obtaining CRTBP initial approximation state vector  $(x, y, z, \dot{x}, \dot{y}, \dot{z})$ . After that the state vector is converted to non-dimensional Nechvile variables, depending on true anomaly and eccentricity instead of time  $(\xi, \eta, \zeta, \dot{\xi}, \dot{\eta}, \dot{\zeta})$ .

$$\rho = \frac{p}{1 + e \cos f} \quad (0.9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \rho \xi \\ y &= \rho \eta \\ z &= \rho \zeta \end{aligned} \quad (0.10)$$

$$\frac{dx}{df} = \frac{dx}{dt} \cdot \frac{dt}{df} \quad (0.11)$$

$$\frac{dt}{df} = \frac{p^{3/2}}{(1 + e \cos f)^2} \quad (0.12)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \xi' &= \dot{x} \frac{p^{3/2}}{1 + e \cos f} + x(-e \sin f) \\ \eta' &= \dot{y} \frac{p^{3/2}}{1 + e \cos f} + y(-e \sin f) \\ \zeta' &= \dot{z} \frac{p^{3/2}}{1 + e \cos f} + z(-e \sin f) \end{aligned} \quad (0.13)$$

The ideas concerning generalization of CRTBP methods to the ERTBP are described in<sup>4</sup>. Finally equations of motion in Nechvile variables (1.17) describing ERTBP are obtained.

$$\Omega = \frac{1}{1 + e \cos f} \left( \frac{\xi^2 + \eta^2}{2} - \frac{1}{2} e \zeta^2 \cos f + \frac{1 - \mu}{\rho_1} + \frac{\mu}{\rho_2} \right) \quad (0.14)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_1^2 &= (\xi + \mu)^2 + \eta^2 + \zeta^2 \\ \rho_2^2 &= (\xi - 1 - \mu)^2 + \eta^2 + \zeta^2\end{aligned}\tag{0.15}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \xi} &= \frac{1}{1 + e \cos f} \left( \xi - \frac{(1 - \mu)(\xi + \mu)}{\rho_1^3} - \frac{\mu(\xi + \mu - 1)}{\rho_2^3} \right) \\ \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \eta} &= \frac{1}{1 + e \cos f} \left( \eta - \frac{(1 - \mu)\eta}{\rho_1^3} - \frac{\mu\eta}{\rho_2^3} \right)\end{aligned}\tag{0.16}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \zeta} &= \frac{1}{1 + e \cos f} \left( -\frac{(1 - \mu)\zeta}{\rho_1^3} - \frac{\mu\zeta}{\rho_2^3} - e\zeta \cos f \right) \\ \frac{d^2 \xi}{df^2} &= \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \xi} + 2 \frac{d\eta}{df} \\ \frac{d^2 \eta}{df^2} &= \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \eta} - 2 \frac{d\xi}{df} \\ \frac{d^2 \zeta}{df^2} &= \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \zeta}\end{aligned}\tag{0.17}$$

#### 4. TRANSFER TRAJECTORY APPROXIMATION IN RTBP

Same as with quasi periodic orbits first an approximation of transfer trajectory in RTBP should be obtained. Since the libration point orbits around  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  points have strong hyperbolic character, their stable manifold is usually used for the transfer<sup>1</sup>. Transfer trajectory to the selected quasi periodic orbit is searched within the invariant manifold with help of the isoline method<sup>5,6,7,8,9</sup>. This method provides connection between periodic orbit dots (here comes periodic orbit approximation obtained in the previous section) and geocentric transfer trajectory parameters – the isolines of transfer trajectory pericentre height function depending on periodic orbit parameters are built. The idea of isoline building method is to find trajectories coming out of periodic solution dots backwards in time that intersect with an injection orbit. This provides one-impulse transfer from LEO to the quasi periodic orbit. This method has been extended on non-direct transfers including Moon gravity assist, which is opportune as it provides  $\Delta V$  needed to enter a more compact quasi periodic orbit (Fig. 2) –  $XY$  amplitude is  $400 \cdot 10^3$  km instead of  $800 \cdot 10^3$  km amplitude in case of direct transfer. The idea is the same, but the function of the pericentre height also depends on time in this case, setting time restrictions on the launch dates.

A decision has been made not to use Moon gravity assist manoeuvre as its performance errors may affect the whole mission robustness but if we concern orbit design solely this is an opportune technique.




Fig.2. Left plot: Transfer trajectory without the Moon gravity assist maneuver. Right plot: Transfer trajectory with the Moon gravity assist maneuver. The XY plane view in the rotating reference frame, dimension – millions of kilometers.

## 5. NOMINAL TRAJECTORY CALCULATION

After having obtained a number of trajectories crossing Earth-centered sphere with  $r = r_E + h_{\text{injectionorbit}}$  within ERTBP framework the nominal transfer trajectory calculation algorithm is being applied. It has the following structure:

- The isolines built are the income data for the flight trajectory initial kinematics parameters calculation algorithm – the initial approximation of the transfer to the halo orbit. At this stage we move the whole problem in the full numerical model used in KIAM Ballistic centre for navigational and ballistic support of currently operating missions. Launch data is selected, that defines the injection orbit thus trajectories a sorted out.
- The initial approximation built is used for exact calculation of the flight from the fixed LEO to the given halo orbit. The kinematics' parameters vector is counted more precisely according to the boundary conditions.
  1. The velocity vector of the transfer trajectory, obtained from the initial approximation is counted more precisely according to the boundary conditions which are the given values of the orbit parameters  $B$  and  $C = 0$ .
  2. The velocity vector, obtained at the stage 1 is counted more precisely according to the condition of the maximum time of the halo orbit staying in the  $L_2$  sphere of the given radius  $R_{L_2}$

$$R_{L_2} = r_L \sqrt{\theta_B^2 + \theta_A^2 (1 + k_2^2)} \quad (0.18)$$

Here we come to the stationkeeping strategy. There are two different ways of keeping the spacecraft in desired orbit. First one is tight control strategy keeping the spacecraft in a tube around the desired periodic approximation. We have tried it, but the other way – loose control – appeared to be a more successful strategy.




Fig. 3. 3D view of the quasi periodic orbits, proposed for “Spectr-RG” (red) and “Millimetron” (blue) spacecraft in the rotating  $L_2$  centered reference frame (X-axis points from the Sun)




Fig. 4. 2D view of the quasi periodic orbits, proposed for “Spectr-RG” (red) and “Millimetron” (blue) spacecraft in the rotating  $L_2$  centered reference frame (X-axis points from the Sun)

Every 45 days the orbit correction is performed, correction impulse vector  $\Delta\vec{V}_i$  is calculated according to the condition of the maximum time of the spacecraft staying in the  $L_2$  point vicinity of the stated radius after the correction has been implemented. The maximum time is searched for with the help of the gradient method.

$$\Delta\vec{V}_i = \frac{1}{2^q} \frac{\Delta V \max}{|\nabla F_c|} (\nabla F_c)^T \quad (0.19)$$

$F_C$  is the functional, describing the time while the spacecraft stays in the  $L_2$  point vicinity of the given radius  $R_{L_2}$ .

$$F_C = t_{\text{out}L_2} - t_{\text{in}L_2} \quad (0.20)$$

The third stationkeeping strategy is represented by another  $F_C$  expression, representing orbit geometry coefficients control.

$$F_C = \frac{1}{T} \int_{t_1}^{t_1+T} \left( (B(t) - \theta_B r_L)^2 + C(t)^2 \right) dt \quad (0.21)$$

Numerical experience has proved second strategy to be more efficient. It provides quasi periodic orbits of desired geometry staying in  $L_2$  point vicinity for 7.5 years (the intended spacecraft lifetime) with total stationkeeping  $\Delta V$  costs less than 10 m/sec.

After the final trajectory is obtained shadow zones and radio visibility zones for Russian ground stations are calculated in order to make sure that obtained trajectory meets all restrictions set. Details concerning nominal trajectories calculation algorithm are discussed in papers<sup>7,8,9</sup>.

Figures 3 and 4 represent the obtained trajectories selected as the nominal ones for ‘‘Spectr-RG’’ and ‘‘Millimetron’’ missions. It is clear from the figures that selection of different  $A_x$  and  $A_z$  amplitudes has resulted in quite different orbit types – ‘‘Millimetron’’ trajectory may be classified as a quasi halo orbit while ‘‘Spectr-RG’’ trajectory is pure Lissajous orbit.

## 6. CONCLUSIONS

A new method of quasi periodic orbits construction, generalizing Lindstedt-Poincaré-Richardson technique for the ERTBP case has been developed and programmed. M.L. Lidov’s isoline building method providing one-impulse transfers from LEO to a quasi periodic orbit in the vicinity of a collinear libration point has been extend on gravity assist trajectory class. An algorithm calculating stationkeeping impulses for the quasi periodic orbit maintenance has been developed and programmed. It provides stationkeeping strategies for spacecraft lifetime over 7 years, total  $\Delta V$  costs are within 10 m/sec. Nominal trajectories for ‘‘Spectr-RG’’ and ‘‘Millimetron’’ missions have been obtained by performing the calculation described above in the full Solar system ballistic model. All the restrictions such as Earth and Moon shadow avoidance conditions and constant radio visibility from the Northern hemisphere have been met.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Gómez G., Llibre J., Martínez R., Simó C. *Dynamics and Mission Design near Libration Points. - Volume 1. Fundamentals: The Case of Collinear Libration Points*. World Scientific, Singapore. (2001).
- [2] Ilin I.S., Sazonov V.V., Tuchin A.G. Construction of the local orbits near the  $L_2$  libration point of the Sun – Earth system. *Cosmic Research*, **3**, (2014).
- [3] Richardson D.L. Analytic construction of periodic orbits about the collinear points. *Celestial Mechanics*, **22**, 241-253, (1980).
- [4] Gurfil P., Meltzer D. Stationkeeping on Unstable Orbits: Generalization to the Elliptic Restricted Three-Body Problem. *The Journal of Astronautical Sciences*, **54**, **1**, pp. 29-51, (2006).
- [5] Lidov M.L., Lyakhova V.A., Teslenko N.M. One impulse flight to the quasi periodic orbit in the vicinity of the Sun – Earth system  $L_2$  point and some related common problems. *Cosmic research*. **25**, **2**. 163–185, (1987)
- [6] Lidov M.L., Lyakhova V.A., Teslenko N.M. The trajectories of the Earth –Moon – halo orbit in the vicinity of the Sun – Earth system  $L_2$  point flight. *Cosmic research*, **30**, **4**, 435–454, (1992)
- [7] Ilin I.S., Zaslavskiy G.S., Lavrenov S.M., Sazonov V.V., Stepanyants V.A., Tuchin A.G., Tuchin D.A., Yaroshevsky V.S. Halo orbits in the vicinity of the Sun – Earth system  $L_2$  point and the transfer to such orbits. *Cosmic Research*, to be published.
- [8] Ilin I.S., Sazonov V.V., Tuchin A.G. Construction of the flights from low Earth orbits to local orbits near the libration point in the Sun – Earth system. *Cosmic Research*, **3**, (2014).
- [9] Ilin I.S., Zaslavsky G.S., Lavrenov S.M., Sazonov V.V., Stepanyants V.A., Tuchin A.G., Tuchin D.A., Yaroshevsky V.S. Ballistic design of transfer trajectories from LEO to halo orbits in Sun-Earth  $L_2$  point vicinity. *Cosmic Research*, **6**, (2014).

Received April 15, 2014.